UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL			
	V. Erica Shantell Robinson Defendant	Case No. 1:12 Cr 101 - 5			
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require			
	Part I – Findings of	Fact			
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is				
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.				
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.				
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	s or more is prescribed in:			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:				
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the d	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state			
(3)	or local offense. A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(0)	offense described in finding (1).	or conviction defendant's release from prison for the			
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not				
	Alternative Finding	ıs (A)			
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com-	mitted an offense			
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	prescribed in:*			
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established b	v finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions			
(-)	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safe				
	Alternative Finding	ys (B)			
、 /	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the saf	•			
_	Part II – Statement of the Reas				
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention appreparation at the detention and information submitted at the detention a				
defendant is alleged to have violated condition# 16 of the Amended Bond by maintaining repeated contacts with codefendant Marcus Thames. The facts alleged by the Government disclose a serious risk of obstruction of justice arising from these					
contacts. Defendant appeared in court with counsel on September 12, 2012 and elected not to contest revocation of bond. The Government's motion to revoke bond (doc. # 60) is hereby granted.					

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 12, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title:	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge